


Comparison 2: EOv alone vs no intervention - studies with dichotomous outcomes

Study	Outcome	H HPs	Unit of Analysis Error 1= Yes; 2=No	Ctr before	EO before	Ctr after	EO after	RD: Unadj	RD: adj	RR: Unadj	RR: Adj	Baseline compliance	Study quality 1= high 2=moderate 3= low	Prescribing 1=Yes; 2=No
Cheater 2006	% aggregate compliance with criteria	176	2						0.9				1	2
Cockburn 1992	% of general practitioners who used at least one resource of the stop smoking kit	138	2			72.00	87.00	15		1.21			2	2
Coenen 2004	% not prescribing antibiotics	59	2	62.2	57	71.3	72.6	1.3	6.5	1.02	1.11	0.57	2	1
De Burgh 1996	% not receiving benzodiazepine prescription for an insomnia	633	2	7.60	5.50	11.50	12.60	1.10	3.20	1.10	1.51	0.06	2	1
Fender 1999	% of women with menorrhagia receiving appropriate care (not	348	2			71.00	80.00	9.00		1.13			2	2
Figueiras 2001a (1:1)	% of recommended drug units of ibuprofen, naproxen, diclofenac	503	2	36.54	36.48	39.33	41.84	2.51	2.57	1.06	1.07	0.37	1	1
Figueiras 2001b (Group)	% of recommended drug units of ibuprofen, naproxen, diclofenac	497	2	36.54	37.61	39.33	41.54	2.21	1.14	1.06	1.03	0.38	1	1
Freemantle 2000	% prescriptions reimbursed for lansoprazole over proton pump inhibitors as a whole (mean %)	277	2	33.00	32.00	42.00	44.00	2.00	3.00	1.05	1.08	0.32	1	1
Freemantle 2002	% of patients treated in accordance with each guideline (NSAID/ aspirin/ ACE inhibitors/ antidepressant)	162	2						5.20		1.13	0.41	2	1
Frijling 2003	Newly diagnosed hypertension; provision of information and advice (median of 12 indicators extracted)	185	2	54	57	53	62	9	6.00	1.17	1.11	0.57	2	2
Kim 1999	% patients offered preventive services, median variable breast examination	41	2					2.00	6.00	1.02	1.08	0.83	2	2
Newton-Syms 1992	% ibuprofen prescribing cost (index) proportion median	318	2	18.00	20.00	16.00	24.00	8.00	6.00	1.50	1.35	0.20	2	1
Rabin 1994	% risk questioning and risk advice for STDs (median variable history of	136	2					12.00		1.62			2	2

% Contribution of EO	Baseline Data 1= Yes; 2 = No	# Clinicians per Visit: 1= 1 Clinician 2= 2 or more Clinicians	# Number of Visits	Complexity 1= high 2=moderate 3= low	Seriousness 1=high 2=moderate 3=low	Source 1=Influential 2=Peer 3=Anyone else	EOV included feedback 1=Yes; 2= No	EOV included tailoring 1=Yes; 2=No
100	1		2	1	2	2	2	1
100	2	1	2	3	2	3	2	2
100	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	2
100	1	1	1	3	2	2	2	2
100	2	2	2	3	2	3	2	2
100	1	1	1	3	2	3	2	2
100	1	2	1	3	2	3	2	2
100	1	1	1	3	2	3	2	2
100	1	2	1	2	2	3	2	2
100	1		15	1	1	3	2	2
100	1	1	3	3	2	3	1	2
100	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	2
100	2	1	1	3	2	3	1	2

100	1	2	1	3	2	1	2	1
100	1	2	12	2	2	3	2	2
100	1	2	1	3	2	1	2	1
100	1	1	1	3	2	1	2	1
100	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	1
100	1	1	2	3	3	3	2	2
100	1	2	1	3	3	3	2	2
100	1	2	1	3	2	1	1	2



100	2		4	1	1			